

Subject: Notes from Kat's emails: school bond

From: Cassandra Bates

To:

Date: Friday, May 27, 2016 6:35 PM

Email Kat to Pete Hoffman (planning commission)-

Pat instructed monique to shut her up

Technicially not allowed to have meeting

Agenda had to posted week before, but was not and meeting had anyway

Closed doors, agenda not shared, nothing shared about community center walk through to FPAC, Pat did it on her own.

In actuality FPAC not being used, Pat using it for her own agenda

How can we garner community support without letting the community speak

Communication – our hands are tied

“How can I possibly convince the voters that we have a well thought out plan that includes everyone in the city,...we simply don't.” FPAC member 2014

Incorrect slides by the chosen vendor- FPAC member

Planning commissioner

“FPAC serving as window dressing instead of serving in a significant way.”

We are feeling used and concerned. our reputations in community are being sullied, by Pat and others using FPAC to justify actions over which we've had no control and or by the use of our names, to suggest significant community participation and the promotion of transparency.

FPAC hasn't been able to do their work or their mandate

No Regular meeting dates or appropriate relationships with the board

FPAC meeting minutes were purposely incomplete

Monique to Patti ackerman- "we feel like we have simply become a rubber stamp for a flawed process."

Ruberick that was unworkable.

FPAC should have had a meeting to have a Ruberick and sift through vendors.

No agenda posted

March 20th surprise meeting

***They brought in a paid employee of the school district to be part of the quorum for choosing the architect (paid employee RAUEL) August 28th.

Measurable and meaningful data- "whole process rushed without measureable and meaningful data for the survey. "

Each meeting should have community outreach

Narrow mandate- committee set out with a very narrow mandate to set out the with modernization or new build of north

school with out any background on how north school become the only options. This created committee having to work backwards. Thorough exploration of all the options.

The fact that the committee was only instructed to look at two options

FPAC no information on Pier

Request for Standing committee to have regular ongoing meeting was denied

*BC/A -Guided through process that was going to submit an RFP again leading to conflict of interest

FPAC Didn't meet 4 months, then told to accept an architect by two school board making the decision to hire. Did not reflect the items requested in the RFP.